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1: Insurance industry’s appetite for risk management should take off
   - A necessary change in business culture
   - New risk management tools at disposal
   - A changing environment

2: AXA’s businesses development relies increasingly on risk management processes
   - General approach
   - Specific developments
A necessary change in business culture: creating a risk culture to optimize risk taking activity

- The objective of Risk Management in financial services is not to prevent people from taking risks but to allow people to understand and manage in the optimal way the risks they take (from a risk return standpoint).
- **Attitude** towards risk is often **ambivalent**

Understanding of risks is the most efficient way to ensure that the right risks are taken. **Risk Management** is therefore a “**business enabler**” rather than a “control function”
New risk management tools at disposal

- In the insurance industry, development of Risk Management has been also allowed by the development of modeling techniques coming from the banking industry (capital market) and the improvement of IT capabilities.

- Models used to assess regulatory capital requirements **must** be the ones used for business management purposes.
A changing environment increasingly favorable to companies that are developing a true and consistent risk culture

Regulatory frameworks

- **US (1994):** Introduction of RBC
- **UK (2004):** FSA initiatives (ICA/ICG, Realistic Balance Sheet...)
- **Netherlands (2004)**
- **Swiss (2006):** Swiss Solvency Test
- **EU (2010/2011?):** SOLVENCY 2
  - “Three pillars” approach, strongly influenced by banking approach (Basel II)
    - Standard model
    - Internal model
    - Harmonization of supervision
    - Recognition of diversification

Rating Agencies

Rating agencies are increasingly factoring risk management in their assessment of insurers’ financial strength, either through:

- specific review of risk management (e.g. S&P’s new ERM practice), or
- proprietary economic/stochastic modeling (e.g. Moody’s capital model introduced in 2005 for US P&C insurers, of Fitch Rating’s stochastic capital model to be launched in 2007)

Capital markets

Equity analysts are also increasingly stressing the benefits of risk management
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AXA’s approach: Producing a combined risk and performance framework

At AXA, a consistent stochastic modelization framework, called “Risk Management Framework”, is used in a series of application.

- **Risk Management Technical Framework**
  - **Economic Capital**
    - Assessment of risk
    - Allocation of capital
    - Basis of stochastic projection
    - Solvency II
  - **Value**
    - Assessment of value of the Group, a company, a branch
    - Basis for Value Based Management
    - European Embedded Value
  - **Pricing**
    - Next generation of pricing tools
    - Assessment of risk-adjusted profitability of products
    - Best way to make Risk Management operational
  - **Stochastic ALM**
    - Take into account “unthinkable” scenarios
    - Assess value of hidden options in insurance contract
  - **Reinsurance Optimization**
    - Simulation of catastrophes
**AXA’s approach: Risk management is now embedded to most processes, from product design to financial communication**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Processes (pricing, investment)</th>
<th>Performance Management</th>
<th>External Communication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Define the level of risk we want</td>
<td>• Risk limits</td>
<td>• Rating objective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(concentration, pricing…)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Allocation of</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Economic Capital</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>by entity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Economic Capital</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>by country</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>by business</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>by risk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Measure the level of risk we have</td>
<td>• Economic Capital</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- By product</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- By portfolio</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Assess the performance taking risk into account</td>
<td>• Product Approval Process indicators</td>
<td>• EEV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Investment performance</td>
<td>• NBV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Return on EV/NBV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- By country</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- By business</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- EV: Economic Value
- NBV: Net Book Value
Concrete developments to support business

- Measuring profitability of products taking into account guarantees:
  - Product Approval Process (PAP)
  - European Embedded Value (EEV)

- Expanding while consuming less capital:
  - Liability Backed Securities (LBS)
  - Economic Capital (EC)

- Bringing higher value while hedging risk exposure
  - Guaranteed Minimum Benefits
The Product Approval Process formalizes the approval that is necessary for every product and requires risk adjusted profitability assessment of all new products. It has been introduced for life and non-life:
- Launched in 2003 in AXA and fully operational for all Individual Life products in 2005
- 65 products planned to go through PAP in 2006

It allows in particular to identify and measure the cost of guarantees in Life products.

- Product developments go through a number of toll-gates: PAP formalizes the approval before significant investments are made
- It is a local governance process that involves:
  - Top Management
  - People across the entire organisation (Product management, ALM, Risk Management, …)
- This AXA Standard requires, among others, risk-adjusted profitability assessment
Product Approval Process
Risk adjusted profitability key to product pricing 2/2

- It is essential that, as part of product development pricing, the costs of risks be assessed, particularly where there are significant embedded options (e.g., VA with guaranteed living benefits, or highly volatile P&C commercial business)

- AXA has developed centrally and cascaded locally a methodology with supporting tools
  - Consistent across the Group
  - Applied locally
  - Involve top management, operational teams and risk management teams

- It is key that the framework supporting this analysis be transversal across product development, financial reporting, MIS and remuneration KPIs

Product management and financial reporting are completely aligned
European Embedded Value (EEV) Opting for a bottom-up market consistent approach

- For AXA, EEV means bottom-up market consistent value:
  - Earned Rate = Risk Discount Rate = Risk Free Rate
  - Explicit allowance for the time value of O&G based on stochastic scenarios, consistent with the approach used in financial markets
  - Specific charge for cost of capital/non-financial risks based on holding capital required to obtain at least a AA rating locally

- Directly leverages the DFA Stochastic Framework developed over the past five years, i.e. consistent with economic capital, product pricing, ALM, etc.

- A bottom up market consistent approach:
  - Provides the most transparent information on value to the shareholder
  - Makes results independent from the choice of market assumptions
  - Is better aligned with the way the business is managed internally
  - Allows to measure risk-adjusted values by product
  - Differentiates risks for inforce and new business
European Embedded Value (EEV)

AXA delivered strong results in 2005

- **Life & Savings EEV**
  - 2004: 25.6 billion
  - 2005: 29.5 billion
  - Total Return: +14% (1)

- **Annual Premium Equivalent (APE)**
  - 2004: 4.8 billion
  - 2005: 5.5 billion
  - +11% (2)

- **New Business Value (NBV)**
  - 2004: 895 million
  - 2005: 1138 million
  - +27% (2)

**NBV/APE margin**
- 2004: 18.6%
- 2005: 20.8%
- Change: +2.8 pts

(1) Total return excludes the impact of capital transfers, modeling changes and foreign exchange.
(2) On a comparable basis (constant exchange rates and scope (MONY 1H05)).
Liability Backed Securities (LBS)
A growing outlet for the transfer of risk

- It is anticipated that capital markets will be increasingly used as outlets for risk transfer.

- The types of risks transferred will take three forms:
  - **Portfolio based securitization** characterized by “high frequency, low impact” risks, such as the securitization of automobile insurance,
  - **Specific event risk securitization** characterized by “low frequency, high impact” risks, e.g., natural disaster, epidemic…
  - **Structural risk securitization** …

- In the context of Solvency II, it is important that the regulations accommodate for the allowance of the impact of these new forms of risk transfer.

- From a regulatory perspective, using capital markets should give benefits to the issuer:
  - Greater capacity at a reasonable price
  - Elimination of credit risk

- The development of Solvency II should encourage the incorporation of these instruments in a company’s risk management program.
The asset backed securities market is continuing to develop strongly, and is getting similar in size to other traditional asset classes:

- Equity (market value) 47%
- Corporates (US$4.2tr) 18%
- Mortgage-backed securities (US$5.6tr) 23%
- Asset-backed securities (US$1.8tr) 8%
- Leveraged loans & high yield bonds (US$0.9tr) 4%

In terms of the balance sheets of European insurers:

- €5,200 billion reserves in life (including €1,000 billion for unit-linked products),
- €600 billion reserves in indemnity insurance

And if only 10% of these amounts were securitized...
The objective was to securitize the risk of deviation of the cost of claims of AXA France IARD's motor insurance portfolio:
- Approximately 3 million contracts
- Annual cost of claims of around €800k
- Claims characteristics: high frequency, low severity and low volatility

The transaction was undertaken with the reinsurer Nexgen Re and the FCC (fonds commun de créances, a French securitization vehicle)

FCC SPARC was launched December 9, 2005:
- Enabled the transfer of 200 million euros of risks to the financial markets for a duration of four years
- Primarily European investors seeking to diversify risk to a new asset class

Key outcome for AXA:
- Diversification of the sources of reinsurance outside of traditional markets and eliminating credit risk
- Improved balance sheet efficiency and capital management
The transaction, structured via FCC SPARC, a French debt mutual fund, is similar to a synthetic securitization by which the risk of performance of the securitized motor insurance portfolio is transferred to investors at certain defined levels.

The risk is measured via the Loss Ratio, which is the ratio of:

\[
\text{Loss Ratio} = \frac{\text{Claims}}{\text{Earned Premiums}}
\]

The main risk for investors is the deviation of the Loss Ratio above the Loss Ratio Trigger Level.
Economic Capital represents the required capital to support the business with a probability of default equivalent to a AA company.

**Key assumptions:**
- AA calibration
- Run-off of existing policies (30 years horizon)
- 4 years of New Business
- Intermediary solvency risk is taken into account

The **Best Estimate Liability** (BEL) is the level of assets required to pay future policyholder benefits in a best estimate scenario (50% of chances to be under reserved and 50% of chance to be over reserved = Economic view of the reserves).
The diversification benefits (excluding diversification benefits within each local operation, which are not measured) amounted to €16 bn
Guaranteed Minimum Benefits
Advanced Risk Management at the AXA Group

- Products with guaranteed minimum benefits are being developed by many life entities within the Group following the success of Accumulator in the US. These guarantees are similar to equity and interest rate put options and are therefore very exposed to poor market performance, especially equity, and also interest rate risk.

- To manage the exposure to these risks, AXA has implemented a **dynamic hedging strategy** in the US, and is developing hedging for Europe and the rest of the Group. This technique is the one used by banks to manage books of financial options (calls and puts).

- The aim of the hedging strategy is for assets and liabilities to move together for key market events, e.g., variations in equity markets and interest rates. Matching assets and liabilities will in turn significantly reduce P&L volatility for the product.

- Dynamic hedging is organized as a business in the US and contribution of dynamic hedging to overall product profitability is carefully tracked.

Assumes mean fund return of 5%
Guaranteed Minimum Benefits: success of US risk management is allowing creation of a European platform

Risk management is a key driver of product innovation and acceleration of time to market

AXA is rolling out US-type GMxB products in Europe by leveraging its risk management expertise through the AXA Life Europe platform

AXA Life Europe
- Governance
- Legal Structure
- Asset strategy
- Hedging strategies
- Accounting for derivatives (2007)
- Business Development

Germany
- First TwinStar policies issued on April 10, 2006

Entity 2

Entity 3

AXA European companies
- Product development
- Ownership of product revenue and profitability
- Group reporting
- Customer interface
- Strategic planning
Conclusion

- Risk is the raw material of the insurance industry, and Risk Management is a key component of business management and value creation.

- Sound Risk Management must cover all aspects of business management:
  - Business processes (pricing, ALM, Reinsurance…)
  - Internal performance monitoring
  - External communication

- The different ingredients of Risk Management, such as:
  - Quantification / Measurement
  - Governance
  - Disclosure / Transparency

...must be taken into account

- At AXA, we have been through this journey and we see today Risk Management as one of the key drivers towards our 2012 Ambition.
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Disclaimer and Cautionary Statements Concerning Forward-looking Statements

Certain statements contained herein are forward-looking statements including, but not limited to, statements that are predictions of or indicate future events, trends, plans or objectives (including statements herein with respect to AXA's Ambition 2012 project and the objectives, financial and other, associated with that project). Undue reliance should not be placed on such statements because, by their nature, they are subject to known and unknown risks and uncertainties and can be affected by numerous factors that could cause actual results and AXA’s plans and objectives to differ materially from those expressed or implied in the forward looking statements (or from past results). These risks and uncertainties include, without limitation, the risk of future catastrophic events (including possible future weather-related catastrophic events and/or terrorist related incidents), economic and market developments, legislative developments, regulatory actions or investigations, as well as litigations and/or types of other proceedings. Please refer to AXA's Document de Référence for the year ended December 31, 2005 and AXA's Annual Report on Form 20-F for the year ended December 31, 2004, for a description of certain important factors, risks and uncertainties that may affect AXA’s business. AXA undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any of these forward-looking statements, whether to reflect new information, future events or circumstances or otherwise.